

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
Is the AT/FP Officer certified and current?					
STANDARD #12 Command Information Program					
Commander incorporates AT/FP info into command information program?					
AT/FP info disseminated effectively via all available/reasonable means					
Is AT/FP info formatted for the Total Army? (Soldiers, civilians, family members?)					
Is OPSEC considered in all public affairs operations?					
STANDARD #14 Threat and Vulnerability Assessments					
Multi-disciplined assessments done at MSC and installations?					
Entire threat spectrum, including WMD, part of assessments?					

NOTES:

Policy/Operations has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
Assessment results are criteria for commander's THREATCON measures?					
Assessment results disseminated to affected organizations?					
Specific assessed vulnerabilities reviewed for classification before dissemination?					
STANDARD #20 Resource Management					
Appropriate staff activities help develop program requirements?					
Staffs determine requirements based on standards, threat, vulnerabilities?					
Projects prioritized by coordinated threat/vulnerability at each level of command?					
Project justification includes specific impacts if not completely funded?					
Projects validated and prioritized according to a documented methodology?					

NOTES:

Policy/Operations has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
STANDARD 3 AT/FP programs based on threats/vulnerabilities					
Resources allocated in order to develop appropriate protection?					
Established program with implementing plan and guidance?					
AT/FP plan based on published threat and vulnerability assessment, including WMD?					
Does planning coordinate and synchronize the AT/FP plan and supporting annexes?					
AT/FP included in all phases of deployment planning?					
Tenant units included in planning?					
Interservice support agreements, MOUs, MOAs consider AT/FP?					
Command monitors subordinates' program effectiveness, tracks, fixes deficiencies?					

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

FP STATUS				
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS
Plan contains AR 190-13 requirements (e.g. bomb threats/base closure)?				
Are plan components tested IAW AR 190-13?				
Risk analysis/mgmt process well defined, understood/incorporated in planning?				
Does AT/FP plan address response to terrorist incident, including response to WMD?				
Does AT/FP plan address post-incident response? Has it been tested?				
Does plan address post-terrorist incident reconstitution? Has it been tested?				
Emergency evacuation procedures in place and are they tested?				
Recognized alarms system with trained persons and appropriate reactions?				
All plans/orders' <i>Enemy Forces</i> paragraphs assess actual threat or state absence?				

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

FP STATUS				
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS
Plans/orders prescribe threat info/incidents reporting, incident responses				
STANDARD #5 Exercise program to develop/refine procedures				
System exists for AT/FP plan and attack warning, annual test, including downwind WMD test done.				
Do the scenarios involve those staff with AT/FP responsibilities?				
After action procedures include feedback through AT/FP committee to the Cdr?				
Is OPSEC considered in the planning, conduct and evaluation of exercises?				
STANDARD #6 Integration of Army 5-step risk management				
Do Commander and staff understand the process?				
Risk mgmt incorporated in all AT/FP planning/program execution?				

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
Risk mgmt considered in all elements of AT/FP program					
STANDARD #10 Weapons of Mass Destruction Planning					
Is the threat use of WMD assessed?					
Are WMD included in AT/FP plan?					
Does AT/FP plan address potential WMD threats and vulnerabilities?					
Are probable WMD targets identified?					
Plans coordinated with civil authorities, HN Do they play in exercises?					
Do staff duty instructions include WMD threat response procedures?					
Attack warning system addresses WMD? Procedures practiced to proficiency?					

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
Rapid communications provisions for military and all civil officials?					
Medical capabilities in place to respond to prevent/treat injuries.					
STANDARD #11 First Response and Consequence Management					
Are first responders officially designated?					
First responders trained to respond to conventional and WMD?					
Responders have adequate protective equipment, including CDE?					
Responder equipment shortfalls considered in AT/FP training?					
Local hospitals mass casualty/WMD capable, covered by MOA/MOUs?					
Responsibility for patient decontamination, contaminated body disposal assigned in AT/FP plan					

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

FP STATUS				
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS
STANDARD #13 Collection of AT/FP Intelligence Information				
MI Collection operations consistent with AR 381-10 and other regulations?				
Law Enforcement collection opns IAW AR 380-13, DoDD 5200.27, others?				
CONUS intel/info integration outside MI office, IAW AR 381-10, other regs?				
Connectivity to receive all source threat info/intel (ATOIC, FBI, Intelink, other resources)?				
Has commander established PIR?				
Are commander's PIR the basis for production requirements?				
Do sufficient persons have appropriate levels of security clearances to support the mission?				
DoD Terrorist Threat Level Classification system used in overseas countries?				

NOTES:

Intel/MI has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.